

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,156	08/21/2003	Joo-Suck Jung	0001420/3062USU	7189
75	90 08/08/2006		EXAMINER	
Paul D. Greeley, Esq.			TILL, TERRENCE R	
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. One Landmark Square, 10th Floor		P.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Stamford, CT			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		JUNG, JOO-SUCK	
Office Action Summary	10/645,156 Examiner	Art Unit	
,		1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Terrence R. Till		
Period for Reply	appould on allo oot of olloot in		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a narricod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) □ □ 3) Since this application is in condition for allo	This action is non-final.	ore prospecution as to the morits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s)	drawn from consideration.		-
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to lead on the drawing (s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing (ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date. <u>20060807</u> . formal Patent Application (PTO-152) —	

Application/Control Number: 10/645,156 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the admitted prior art of figures 1 and 2.
- 3. The admitted prior art is considered to disclose all the recited subject matter particularly a cap 70 connected to an outer surface of the second connection part 42 of the holder 40, and comprising an elastic locking member 51,60 integrally formed therein. The elastic locking member having a hook 51 protruded from the inside of the holder through the locking hole 42a into the second connection part and inserted in the fixing hole 21a of the extension pipe through the locking hole to thereby lock the extension pipe (see applicant's description of admitted prior art, page 3, lines 10-21) and it should be noted that the term "integrally" is considered to encompass constructions of multiple parts that are assembled and function as a unit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

_

Application/Control Number: 10/645,156 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 2 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (figures 1 and 2) in view of UK publication to Lee '712 (cited in IDS).
- 7. The admitted prior art discloses all the recited subject matter with exception of a first bracket integrally formed on the holder and a second bracket integrally formed on the cap to support the axis. The UK publication to Lee '712 discloses (prior art, figures 1-3) a similar pipe locking device, which includes a first bracket 36,36a with holes 36b, formed therein to support a pivot axis 42 of the locking member 40. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of the admitted prior art of the application with a first/second bracket mounted either on the holder or cap to support the axis. With respect to claim 4, although the combination of the admitted prior art does not disclose third and fourth brackets, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide third and fourth brackets in a crossing manner on the holder since it is considered within the purview of one skilled in the art to duplicate parts. It should be noted the third and fourth brackets are really two brackets, not four formed in a crossing manner.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,156 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 5/18/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. The added language to the claims is clearly admitted prior art. Therefore it cannot distinguish from the rejection using the admitted prior art. As stated in the body of the rejection, it has been held that the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. In re Hotte, 177 USPQ 326, 328 (CCPA 1973). With respect to claims 2 and 3, the examiner uses Lee to provide brackets to the prior art; not any of the other structure disclosed by Lee. Additionally, applicant's arguments are persuasive with respect to claim 4 and claim 4 is now objected to as having allowable subject matter.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/645,156 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys P. Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744